

BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY

AT NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

August 29, 2002

IN RE:

**UNITED CITIES GAS COMPANY, A DIVISION OF
ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION, INCENTIVE PLAN
ACCOUNT (IPA) AUDIT**

**DOCKET NO.
01-00704**

**ORDER SCHEDULING DISCOVERY, RESPONSE AND ORAL ARGUMENT
RELATIVE TO MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT**

This matter is before the Hearing Officer to render a decision on the issues raised by the parties and to enter an initial order on the merits. On August 28, 2002, a telephonic Scheduling Conference was held between all parties: United Cities Gas Company ("UCG"); the Consumer Advocate and Protection Division, Office of the Attorney General ("Consumer Advocate") and the Tennessee Regulatory Authority Staff ("TRA Staff"). During the Scheduling Conference, the parties agreed to the following schedule:

September 4, 2002	Consumer Advocate's and TRA Staff's Discovery Requests
September 6, 2002	Consumer Advocate's and TRA Staff's Responses to UCG's Discovery Requests, including Objections
September 19, 2002	UCG's Response to Discovery Requests, including Objections
September 24, 2002	(Any) Motions to Compel Discovery

September 30, 2002¹	Responses to (Any) Motions to Compel Discovery
October 4, 2002	Hearing Officer's Ruling(s) on Motions to Compel Discovery
October 11, 2002	Parties' Responses to Discovery following Hearing Officer's Rulings
October 11, 2002	All Discovery to be Completed
October 21, 2002	UCG's Written Response to Motions for Summary Judgment
October 24, 2002	Oral Argument on Motions for Summary Judgment (time & location to be separately "Noticed")

All documents are to be filed with the Authority no later than **2:00 pm** on the date set above.

The parties agreed to hold in abeyance the scheduling of the Hearing until after the resolution of the Motions for Summary Judgment.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The above discovery and procedural schedule is hereby adopted.

J. Richard Collier
J. Richard Collier, Hearing Officer

Entered: *August 29, 2002*

¹ Because the Hearing Officer determined after the Scheduling Conference that "Responses to Motions to Compel Discovery" were appropriate in this case, certain due dates in October have been extended using the same intervals agreed to by the parties at the Scheduling Conference.